Planning and Evaluation Tracking

College Year: 2010-2011

Division of: <u>Academic Development</u> Person Responsible: <u>Judy Johnson</u> Department of: <u>Reading</u> Person Responsible: <u>Judy Isbell</u>

Purpose Statement: Prepare students for college-level coursework by improving reading comprehension and vocabulary.

Goal Statements	Objectives/Outcomes	Results	Use of Results
	(including assessment tools and		(including improvements and
	standards)		revisions)
1. Students will be successful in	1a. Upon completion of Reading	1a. <u>2009-2010</u>	1a. <u>2009-2010</u>
college-level courses.	Tech 0331, students will be	?% Remediated students passed	Analysis:
	successful in intensive reading	intensive reading courses with A-C	Students have not had enough
	classes within 10% of first-time-in-	?% Tested Ready students passed	time to demonstrate success in
	college students who did not need remediation as measured by	intensive reading courses with A-C	college-level courses.
	course grades. (Revised 5/10).		Plan of Action:
			Awaiting data from the Office of
			Institutional Research.
	1b. Upon receiving an exit	1b. <u>2009-2010</u>	1b. <u>2009-2010</u>
	placement test score indicating	?% Remediated students passed	Analysis:
	college readiness, students will be	intensive reading courses with A-C	Students have not had enough
	successful in intensive reading	?% Tested Ready students passed	time to demonstrate success in
	classes within 10% of first-time-in- college students who did not	intensive reading courses with A-C	college-level courses.
	need remediation as measured by		Plan of Action:
	course grades. (Revised 5/10).		Awaiting data from the Office of
	lead se grades. (Nevisea 5/10).		Institutional Research.
		2008-2009	<u>2008-2009</u>
		?% Remediated students passed	Analysis:
		intensive reading courses with A-C	Students who tested out of
		?% Tested Ready students passed	reading on state approved test
		intensive reading courses with A-C	still not included. THEA and TASI
			are still being recorded in Datate
			Plan of Action:
			Will not rely on pulling data from
			Datatel. Will provide the Office
			Institutional Research with

2007-2008 ?% Remediated students passed intensive reading courses with A-C ?% Tested Ready students passed intensive reading courses with A-C 2006-2007 60% Remediated students passed intensive reading courses with A-C 51% Tested Ready students passed intensive reading courses with passed intensive reading courses	students' names and ID numbers who have tested out of Reading during each semester. This information will be reported separately. 2007-2008 Analysis: Students who tested out of reading on state approved test still not included. The reason for inaccurate test data is that the college is reporting the THEA test as THEA and TASP, so there is no consistency to run reports from Datatel. Plan of Action: Run results using both THEA and TASP test results. 2006-2007 Analysis: Students who tested out of reading on state approved test not included.
51% Tested Ready students	reading on state approved test
	Plan of Action: Identify reasons for inaccurate test data.

2. Students will demonstrate	2a. Upon completion of	2a. <u>2009-2010</u>	2a. <u>2009-2010</u>
reading skill improvement.	developmental reading each	Spring 2010	Analysis:
	semester, 70% of students will	*N = 246/387 (64%)	Did not meet target for spring or
	improve reading skills as		fall.
	measured by a pre-post	Fall 2009	
	assessment or state approved	*N = 277/428 (65%)	Plan of Action:
	test. (Revised 9/08).		Evaluate the pre-post assessment
			to determine if it accurately
			measures the skills taught in class.
		2008-2009	2008-2009
		Spring 2009	Analysis:
		*N = 152/257 (59%)	Did not meet target for spring,
			but did for fall.
		Fall 2008	
		*N = 221/313 (71%)	Plan of Action:
			Look at teaching strategies that
			will improve skill development.
		2007-2008	2007-2008
		Spring 2008	Analysis:
		*N = 171/256 (67%)	Did not meet target.
			Unanticipated Results :
			Discrepancy in Reading course
		*N = # improved Post testers/	levels between ACCUPLACER
		total # Post testers	placement test and THEA-based
			pre-assessment led to:
			10 students encouraged to retake
			ACCUPLACER and tested out of reading.
			32 students placed in higher
			levels of developmental reading.

	2b. During the semester 10% of students enrolled will test out of Reading as measured by a state-approved test. (Fall 2009)	2b. 2009-2010 Spring 2010 *N = 60/595 (10%) Fall 2009 *N = 55/631 (9%) 2008-2009 Spring 2009 *N = 30/512 (6%) Fall 2008 *N = 48/541 (9%) *N = # tested out/ total # enrolled	Plan of Action: Revise measurement of students to include those who test out of reading during the semester but do not take Post Test (Obj. 2b). Include new goal (Goal 3) based on Pre-Test results. 2b. 2009-2010 Analysis: Did not meet target for fall, but did for spring. Plan of Action: Continue to identify students who are capable of testing out of Reading. 2008-2009 Analysis: Did not meet target for fall or spring. Plan of Action: Continue to evaluate pre/post assessments to determine eligible students and encourage those students to test out.
--	---	---	---

3. Students will have the	3a. Upon completion of Pre-Test	3a. <u>2009-2010</u>	3a. <u>2009-2010</u>
opportunity to progress through	assessment, 5% of students	<u>Spring 2010</u>	Analysis:
reading at a faster rate.	tested will be placed in higher	*N = 48/595 (8%)	Met target for spring and fall.
(Fall 2008)	levels of developmental reading.		
		Fall 2009	Plan of Action:
		*N = 35/631 (6%)	Continue to move students and
			evaluate their success rate as
			measured by course completion.
		2008-2009	2008-2009
		Spring 2009	Analysis:
		*N = 22/512 (4%)	Met target for fall but not spring.
		Fall 2008	Plan of Action:
		*N = 32/541 (6%)	Continue to move students.
		, , ,	Only move students up 1 level of
			developmental reading.
		*N = # placed in higher levels/	,
		total # enrolled	

3b. Upon completion of Pre-Test	3b. <u>2009-2010</u>	3b. <u>2009-2010</u>
assessment, 2% of students	Spring 2010	Analysis:
tested will be placed in a Fast	*N = 20/595 (3%)	Met target for spring and fall.
Track reading class.		
	Fall 2009	Plan of Action:
	*N = 12/631 (2%)	Continue to move students into
		Fast Track reading class. Consider
		adding an afternoon section to
		accommodate more students.
	<u>2008-2009</u>	<u>2008-2009</u>
	<u>Spring 2009</u>	Analysis:
	*N = 15/512 (2.9%)	Met target for spring but not fall.
	Fall 2008	Plan of Action:
	*N = 8/541 (1.5%)	Continue to place students in Fast
		Track. Place Fast Track students
		in RDNG-0331-016. This will allow
	*N = # placed in Fast Track/	better tracking of students.
	Total # enrolled	Section 16 will always be Fast
		Track.

2009-2010 statistics include Reading classes offered at Hereford and Moore County campuses.