# PET FORM Planning and Evaluation Tracking (2012-2013 Assessment Period)

Division of: Career and Technical Division

Person Responsible for this Division: Lyndy D. Wilkinson

Department of: Instrument & Control Technology

Person Responsible for this Form: Ronald Mashburn

**Purpose Statement (With Last Updated Date):** To educate quality technicians in the growing fields of Instrumentation Control.

#### Goal Statement #1:

Start a tracking system to determine advancement and review data on a yearly basis.

### **Outcome/Objective Statement**

Track those students who advance from a Level 1 certificate to an Associate's degree program. Work to increase that number by 10% from former year. (No Excuses Goal 5)

# • Results (Provide Numbers and Percentages for Quantitative Data)

No previous data had been gathered at this time – New Goal/Outcome.

## Analysis

o Provide Previous Data/Result Analysis

We will begin compiling data as of the current semester.

#### • Improvements

o List any Improvements Made in the 2011-2012 (Last Academic) Year Based on the 2010-2011 PET Results:

N/A – New Goal/Outcome.

o Evaluate Why Improvements Were Successful/Were Not Successful:

N/A

o Provide the Budget Information Needed to Make Past Improvements (Cost/Details): \$5,000.

10/15/2012 Page **1** of **4** 

### Recommendations/Actions for 2012-2013

o Person Responsible (Who will complete the action?):

The Program Coordinator will monitor evaluation process and outcomes.

o Action Plan:

Develop a database and track process of students' advancement.

o Expected Time Frame Needed to Implement Action Plan (Please provide specific deadline date):

One year to achieve at least 10%. This will be an ongoing process of review and maintain desired goal.

o Budget Information Needed for Future Action (Cost/Details): \$5000.

#### Goal Statement #2:

Create a capstone exam for the INTC 2339 course that best meets the ISA certification exam requirements and compile the documented exam results in a database for evaluation.

# **Outcome/Objective Statement**

(Be sure to include audience, behavior, conditions, degree/benchmark, and evaluation method):

100% of graduating students in the CETT.AAS degree will meet or exceed a score of 70% on INTC 2339 Instrument and Control Review, a comprehensive capstone exam, administered during the final semester of the Instrumentation and Control Technology program. The test is derived from certification-types questions from Instrumentation Society of America certification exam review tests (Amarillo College Strategic Plan through 2015: Task 1.4.1.3).

### Results (Provide Numbers and Percentages for Quantitative Data):

No previous data had been gathered at this time – New Goal/Outcome.

## Analysis

Provide Previous Data/Result Analysis (Include if benchmark was met and how results relate to outcome statement):

No previous data had been gathered at this time.

## Improvements

o List any Improvements Made in the **2011-2012** (Last Academic) Year Based on the **2010-2011** PET Results:

No previous data had been gathered at this time.

o Evaluate Why Improvements Were Successful/Were Not Successful:

No previous data had been gathered at this time.

o Provide the Budget Information Needed to Make Past Improvements (Cost/Details): \$1,000.

10/15/2012 Page **2** of **4** 

## Recommendations/Actions for 2012-2013

o Person Responsible (Who will complete the action?):

The Program Coordinator will coordinate the implementation of the exam and will gather the results from the ISA designated certification exam facilitator.

o Action Plan:

Develop a database to track students' exam success.

o Expected Time Frame Needed to Implement Action Plan (Please provide specific deadline date):

By start of the spring semester 2013, this action plan will be implemented.

o Budget Information Needed for Future Action (Cost/Details):

\$2,000

#### Goal Statement #3:

As the Instrumentation industry continues to diversify, opportunities will be available for the graduates of the program.

# **Outcome/Objective Statement**

(Be sure to include audience, behavior, conditions, degree/benchmark, and evaluation method):

Develop industrial partnerships for employment opportunities and support for programs.

# • Results (Provide Numbers and Percentages for Quantitative Data)

Industries continue to call and request students applicants and referrals. Industries continue to show interest in performing on-campus job interviews. Students will realize the opportunity to interview for jobs after graduation.

### Analysis

o Provide Previous Data/Result Analysis (Include if benchmark was met and how results relate to outcome statement):

Number of jobs available and industries performing interviews will drive the programs development.

#### Improvements

o List any Improvements Made in the **2011-2012** (Last Academic) Year Based on the **2010-2011** PET Results:

Companies are calling us asking for students to apply.

o Evaluate Why Improvements Were Successful/Were Not Successful:

Industries continue to call and request students applicants and referrals; and continue to show interest in performing on-campus job interviews.

o Provide the Budget Information Needed to Make Past Improvements (Cost/Details): \$1,000.

10/15/2012 Page **3** of **4** 

## • Recommendations/Actions for 2012-2013

o Person Responsible (Who will complete the action?):

The Program Coordinator will coordinate with industry to come on campus to interview student prospects.

## o Action Plan:

Visit with industry, develop contact information, and seek out industry support and corporation for the program.

o Expected Time Frame Needed to Implement Action Plan (Please provide specific deadline date):

Action plan has been implemented and will be ongoing throughout the year.

o Budget Information Needed for Future Action (Cost/Details): \$2000

10/15/2012 Page **4** of **4**