Instructional Assessment Sub-Committee Minutes

Committee/Meeting Name	Instructional Assessment Sub-Committee				
Date	November 21, 2008	Starting Time	1:35	Ending Time	2:30
Location	Library 113			Recorder	Joy Brenneman
Members Present	Susan Burgoon, Joe Gandy; Deborah Harding; Denise Hirsch; Kara Larkan; Aimee Martin; Danita McAnally; Kim				
	McMahon; Delton Moore; Mark Rowh; Judy Isbell; Paul Matney; Wendy Poling; Mark Usnick				
Absent	Dan Ferguson; Jill Gibson; Toni Gray				
Visitors					

Topics	Discussion, Information	Action to be Taken, Decision, Recommendation, Timeline
Approval of Minutes from October 31, 2008	 Kara Larkan-Skinner Minutes reviewed by committee 	 Delton Moore moved to approve Mark Rowh seconded Approved
Discuss PET results	 Kara Larkan-Skinner a. Departments with at least one Outcome 76% (N=48/63) Does not include any ITT PET forms Some ITT forms do have Outcomes b. Departments with Results for one Outcome 52% (N=33/63) Does not include any ITT PET forms c. Departments with Use of Results 52% (N=33/63) Does not include any ITT PET forms 	 Definitions Includes a measurable outcome Has written outcome statement, did measurements, has results Includes direct outcomes - track numbers, explain use of numbers, analysis, plan of action
Discuss General Education Results to Date	Kara Larkanh-Skinnr a. 12/43 Courses have sent in work to date	 Problems encountered include – course content

U:\Electronic Archives\Rec 2009-02-02 (Mon); Done 02-02--Assessmt-Instr (r)\Assessmt Instr Cmte Mins 2008-11-21r.doc

Instructional Assessment Sub-Committee Minutes

	43 targeted courses b. 11/43 Courses will send in work c. Targeted goal was for 20 courses to send in work	 does not fit competencies; target goal of 20 should be realized Spring 2009 will be the mass request for artifacts; if enough are collected, will not need to do in Fall 2009
Competency Committees Status - Leaders	 Kara Larkan-Skinner Communication – Dan Ferguson Not in attendance Discussion - need to prove both written and oral competencies Critical Thinking and Problem Solving – Are not meeting collectively – via email Two packets back; all packets will be in just before or after Thanksgiving Rubric working well Mathematics – Aimee Martin Having last meeting this week to finish 50 artifacts Will send to Assessment 	 Suggested recording presentations, uploading to myAC for committee to review Check with Jeff Gibson's area for equipment Use presentations in courses outside of speech department – e.g. Psychology, Honors classes
	 Technological Literacy – Mark Rowh Committee has met New rubric – 5 criteria, more flexible May be time to update competency wording to be sure it fits what is being tested (technology v. computer skills) Not tied to MS Office Suite – could add other 	 Bring new rubric for approval by this committee Discussed possibility of including iPods, clickers - problem with assessing end result (has something been produced with the technology?) Is ANGEL a possibility for assessment? – may be departmental or course level assessment tool Critical SACS issue – will be looked at by SACS

U:\Electronic Archives\Rec 2009-02-02 (Mon); Done 02-02--Assessmt-Instr (r)\Assessmt Instr Cmte Mins 2008-11-21r.doc

Instructional Assessment Sub-Committee Minutes

	application to rubric	 committee May be ongoing process of changing rubric because of changing technology **Need to list strengths and weaknesses of artifacts, note areas of improvement, create plan of action, and refer to Academic Affairs – make part of rubric
Conclusion	Kara Larkan-Skinner	
Next Meeting	Friday; January 30, 2009; 2:00-3:30	Location – Library 115