Instructional Assessment Sub-Committee Minutes

Committee/Meeting Name	Instructional Assessment Sub-Committee				
Date	February 27, 2009	Starting Time	2:00 p.m.	Ending Time	3:30 p.m.
Location	Library 113			Recorder	Kim McMahon
Members Present	Dan Ferguson; Toni Gray; Deborah Harding; Denise Hirsch; Kara Larkan; Paul Matney; Danita McAnally; Delton				
	Moore; Judy Isbell; Wendy Poling; Mark Rowh; Mark Usnick				
Absent	Susan Burgoon; Joe Gandy; Jill Gibson; Aimee Martin				

Topics	Discussion, Information	Action to be Taken, Decision, Recommendation, Timeline
Charge	Danita McAnally	
	Danita reviewed the following charge to this committee and asked for discussion.	
	 Analyze outcome assessments for the instructional departments/programs at AC 	
	 Propose recommendations or revisions to the approaches/methods and/or instruments within the instructional departments/programs at AC 	
	• Ensure that improvements have resulted from evidence of outcomes and documentation exists for those instructional improvements	
Discussion	Danita McAnally	
	Since everyone was not at the last meeting, Danita asked the same questions to see what new information would come up:	
	Based on the charge to this sub-committee, what gaps	General Education/Computer Literacy – Lack of

Instructional Assessment Sub-Committee Minutes

Approval of Minutes	exist at AC regarding this charge? What will need to be changed in the instructional assessment approaches and initiatives at AC to fulfill this sub-committee's charge? Danita McAnally	 communication vehicle for sharing specific skills that need to be improved Don't understand rubric/competency of other disciplines than your own Instructional departments are only focused on their own PET forms and are not benefiting from others; don't know what others are doing Going from general to specific disciplines: How do we make recommendations when we don't know about the specific? Lack of genuine and broad faculty buy-in to Outcomes Assessment General Education faculty who submit work don't know the results: Who's responsibility is it to close this circle? Reports are too general, they tell us nothing Should faculty receive reports of Outcomes Assessment results? Communication Need a clear definition of results we are striving for Need a committee to translate results Committees identify deficiencies in student work and gather data to talk to instructors about, ie: grammar Assess our methodology to see if it is working, need more than just numbers Need to use a standard format for committee reports of student work
from January 30, 2009		

Instructional Assessment Sub-Committee Minutes

	Minutes reviewed by committee	 Denise Hirsch moved to approve Mark Usnick seconded Approved with changes Sent to archive
General Education Competencies Report	 Danita McAnally Target list completed, have had many responses 100 assignments this school year Handed out student work to Math Committee 	
Competencies by Discipline	 Danita McAnally Tk20 Software System Update Still in design stage Danita went over a handout from a SACS convention and showed all the things they are asking for; we now do this by hand; Tk20 will do this for us in the future 	 Kara Larkan-Skinner and Kim McMahon are now entering in data from Assessment & Development PET form We should get to the Pilot Groups in March
Other	Danita McAnallyNo other business	
Next Meeting	Friday; March 27, 2009; 2:00-3:30	Location – Library 113