

Faculty Evaluation Committee Minutes

Friday, January 30, 2009, 0900 hours

Members Present: Mark Rowh, Mike Kopenits, Rudy Bratcher, Lana Jackson

Old Business

Mark Rowh gave the committee members a report from the Best Practices for AC Online Learning meeting from January 22, 2009. As a result of that meeting, this committee was given a task to discuss ways to devise an evaluation instrument that can be used for all student-learning environments: traditional and distance classes-hybrid, online, enhanced online.

Rowh also noted that this was important so that stats can be compared accurately among all forms of learning environments for Title V funding as well as for SACS objectives.

New Business

Lana Jackson discussed the Peer Review of a Distance Education Course checklist. The checklist is one that is standardized for all faculty members who teach in the online environment so that the course is quality assured.

Committee members also addressed questions and/or issues that were submitted by Sabra Gore via an email attachment, since she was unable to attend.

1. Should the evaluation survey have separate sections for course content, the instructor, or presentation? The members voted 'no'.
2. Will we use a Likart Scale and ask about grade expectation? 'yes'
3. Concern that student evaluations are given too early in the semester: Committee members felt that if the survey was given later, then students who dropped by the drop date would not be able to participate.

Committee members looked at the student evaluations that are presently being used. Statements were revised, combined, or used as written previously.

Rowh thanked the committee for their work and will send them a copy of the first draft.

Adjournment

10:20 hours