April 6, 2018

Members Present	Jodi Lindseth, President
	Mary Dodson, Vice President
	Nathan Fryml, Secretary
	Donna Cleere
	Nichol Dolby
	Robert Gustin
	Tammy Holmes
	Robert Johnson
	Shawna Lopez
	Tara Meraz
	Sarah Milford
	Courtney Milleson
	Bill Netherton
	Phyllis Pastwa
	DeeAnne Sisco
	Sarah Uselding
	Karen White
Members Absent	
Guests	TAMARA CLUNIS
	BECKY BURTON
	JASON NORMAN
	TINA BABB

Topics	Discussion/Information	Actions/Decisions
		Recommendations/Timelines

April 6, 2018

Call to Order	President Jodi Lindseth called the meeting to order at 2:03 PM	
Approval of Minutes		MOTION to approve: Karen White SECOND: Bill Netherton YEA: all NAY: none
Special Guest Report	Q1 (part 1): What is the process for checks and balances on program directors. Directors allocate load, but some do so with very little transparency. What processes should the faculty take when they have been given additional duties, but do not see compensation on workload? There seems to be a distinct lack of transparency and the faculty feel that the director is not equitable in distribution of load. At the same time, faculty in small programs are fearful of retaliation and feel that they are not able to speak. ADDRESSED BY: Tamara Clunis Historically, the VP's office does not micro-manage work load. Would need some more information for this specific situation. Would naturally be very concerned if there was lack of equity. [Clarification from the recipient of the question: Couple of faculty are experiencing changes in pay with same course load, so the calculations are not making sense.] A strange question, because all faculty are required to teach 15 hours. Perhaps connected to some of the payroll errors we've had recently (ups and downs due to corrections over a couple of months). Dr. Clunis will sit down	

April 6, 2018

Ware Student Commons, Room 207

with academic leaders to go over basic expectations for calculation of faculty load and communication of that info to the individual faculty. Goal is certainly to hire academic leaders that are fair and trustworthy. Once load is assigned, if faculty member can't seem to get info from faculty leader, can access it through office of VPAA. For grievances (improper compensation, etc.), one should to go through division dean first, then up to VPAA office if necessary.

Q1 (part 2): Some faculty have been given the option to have work from home days. Certain programs have been provided this opportunity, but some faculty are not provided with this opportunity due to supervisor disapproval. Is there any policy regarding equitability among programs. There are not concerns about faculty not being available to students. There has not been a student complaint about lack of access to faculty.

ADDRESSED BY: Tamara Clunis

VPAA does not micro-manage. Hesitant to get into this, but of course concerned about cases when faculty are not available for student needs. This is the first time concerns have been *articulated*. VPAA can get more involved, but would most likely be approving new policy drafted by the faculty. Can certainly raise awareness among administration. Must have transparency and consistency for "work-from-home" policies. And as a general rule,

April 6, 2018

Ware Student Commons, Room 207

we really MUST have faculty on campus. Post and keep office hours, etc. That is a MINIMUM requirement. [Clarification from recipient of question: Largely a West Campus issue, because they are pressed to stay long hours, beyond office hours, which doesn't seem equitable considering other faculty allowed to spend much less time on campus.] Needs to be brought to the (appropriate) dean's attention. Will bring these matters to the deans in meeting next week, the results of which should be communicated to faculty leaders. Don't want to get on a slippery slope in either direction. We do want and need some flexibility here. Going up the chain is generally the best approach, but of course a faculty member can always reach out to Dr. Clunis directly if uncomfortable with immediate supervisors. Her cell number is always in her email signature.

Q2: Our twice yearly administration of the CCSSE Survey indicates the fact that our leaders value this information more than they value the information gleaned from the voluntary student evaluations of their professors done in an online survey. Why, you may ask? Because the leaders of this college force us to give up valuable instructional time that students pay for so that they can gather information about what students think about the college overall. This survey takes a whopping 45 to 50 minutes of our students' time. This survey is administered campus wide twice a year to hundreds of students. Many students give up valuable instruction time more than once because they are in two

April 6, 2018

Ware Student Commons, Room 207

or more courses that have been forced to administer the same survey. Many students end up doing the SAME SURVEY in both the FALL AND the SPRING semesters. This produces redundant information being processed into the aggregate numbers and could skew the results if redundancy is accomplished numerous times.

Meanwhile, my voluntary online student evaluation of faculty survey is answered maybe 5% of the time IF I AM LUCKY! There have been numerous classes when only 1 or 2 students answered the survey even though they were sent numerous reminders both verbally and in email form to please answer the faculty evaluation survey. The praise, chastisement, helpful ideas, and observations from students gleaned from the student evaluation of faculty survey over the years has been invaluable to shaping me as a teacher. I have sorely missed this valuable feedback for the longest time now. Excellent instruction requires feedback from the student. This link in the teaching chain has been missing for years now here at AC, and its omission is starting to show in our instruction, I fear.

May I propose the following compromise. Administer the CCSSE Survey only once a year. This will cut costs and reduce redundancy. Administer student evaluation of faculty once a year in class. Make it to be a survey which takes ONLY 10 MINUTES per course done by pencil on scantron. Allow student workers to help process this data.

April 6, 2018

Ware Student Commons, Room 207

What does faculty senate think about this idea? Could we present this to the leaders of our college for consideration? ADDRESSED BY: Tamara Clunis and Tina Babb

The move to do the faculty surveys on Bb has been an absolute failure. Would LOVE to do pre-printed in class. If there is an openness amongst the Senate, would be happy to move in that direction. The faculty survey really is designed to collect data on each class, but there is some flexibility. Can have separate eval's for traditional, online, hybrid, etc.

Regarding CCSSE and SENSE, AC's (past) typical process has been to do minimum required for accreditation. Things have changed at the state level, however, and our luck scooting by is bound to run out eventually. We have had to put in an assessment cycle that is ongoing (accreditors know when you are "organizing for the test."). Must demonstrate that you are collecting the information, and also how you are using it.

CCSSE is offered once per year, as is SENSE, but both have been run this year, which is why it feels like two. [SEE DOCUMENT ATTACHED.] But they are geared towards two different groups of students. Students are not supposed to take the test multiple times (it is the faculty member's responsibility to poll the class to ensure). AC is currently processing the information from the

April 6, 2018

Ware Student Commons, Room 207

previous surveys, and will get the results out to faculty as soon as possible.

SENSE is helping us navigate the challenges of No Excuses 2020.

Being cited for non-compliancy is very serious in terms of reaccreditation. We really need to administer these standard tests. Goal is to give faculty more advance notice so they can plan accordingly. Hopefully we will soon have a full-time person to serve as a faculty point-person for these evaluations (currently only part-time support).

Slowly building up the evidence for every student having to take SENSE. Will need Faculty Senate to support this. Currently, classes truly are randomly selected by the test vendor, otherwise we'd try more actively to avoid doubling classes.

Again, this is DIRECTLY TIED to reaccreditation.

Q3: On Van Buren Street, there is no parking 7 a.m. - 1 p.m. Can we make it 7 a.m. - 6 p.m. because it is difficult to navigate the street (one lane road when people park there).

ADDRESSED BY: Stephanie Birkenfeld (via email)

April 6, 2018

Ware Student Commons, Room 207

The signs are posted by the City of Amarillo. Any change would require a traffic survey. She will request this survey. Has hopes for other streets as well, and will try to make sure they do the surveys during the school year (not summer!) for accuracy. [Senate discussion, to be passed along to Chief Birkenfeld: Can city also look at pedestrian traffic on 22nd street? Very unsafe, particularly at rush hours.]

Q4: I just saw in the news that AC and AISD made an agreement to allow students who have just finished _eighth grade_ to start taking dual credit classes through AC. I have several questions about this. One, who is determining which students qualify and how? Have faculty been involved with these discussions and this program? Also, don't high school students have to take certain types of classes to meet state high school curriculum requirements, such as high school American literature? How do we simultaneously meet their high school _and_ college English requirements? Does that mean literature can be incorporated into Comp 1 (and stay in Comp 2) to help these dual credit students meet their state high school curriculum requirements?" Could Jason Norman come and explain the short and long term effects this agreement will have on departments and enrollment?

April 6, 2018

Ware Student Commons, Room 207

ADDRESSED BY: Becky Burton and Jason Norman

They have to be TSI compliant in reading and writing. State dictates that ALL dual-credit students are compliant in reading and writing (not necessarily in math). Typically top 10 students selected by each school. Program started 9 years ago, and has already had 22 graduates. Lots of planning on the front end, built semester by semester. There is a dual-credit coordinator for each subject (AC faculty). They are well-informed regarding the new schools entering into the program. [Jason showed an example of what a dual-credit course plan looks like (River Road HS) ... different from school to school. SEE DOCUMENT ATTACHED.] Students have to meet BOTH their high school and the college requirements. AC is open to conversations about how best to implement. Talk to subject coordinator, and conversation will be started with the corresponding high schools. Jason registers each student and keeps in touch with them as much as possible to keep them on their respective plans. Numbers are increasing, so eventually will need additional sections of these classes at AC. To clarify, there are students who are CONCURRENTLY enrolled in AC classes along with corresponding classes at their high schools. Couple of options for how dual-credit is taught: Qualified teacher at the high school (masters degree, etc.), or AC instructor generally through online format (with high school teacher assisting). We are talking about an AS degree track, not AAS. Targeting those students who KNOW what they want to do, and are trying to save money in advance.

April 6, 2018

	Many of these students would never have come to us otherwise. Success rate is very high in these dual-credit classes (largely due to supervision). Trying to encourage students to come ON CAMPUS at least once (must be 16 years old or older), to get something of college experience. These four years are giving students actual time to explore, without the pressures of expiring financial aid, etc. Should have six level-1 pathways by next fall.	
President's Report		
Secretary's Report		
Courtesy		
Elections	9 rotating off. Should be 6 each year, though. Will randomly select 2 and 3 year terms for those coming in to get us back on track. Karen White provided the following submissions by email: STEM Brandon Moore Robin Malone Dr Dave Van Domelen Nursing & Allied Health Kati Alley Amanda Lester-Chisum Technical Education Walter Webb	MOTION to close nominations: Courtney Milleson SECOND: Shawna Lopez YEA: all NAY: none VOTE by paper ballot: Karen White (by majority vote)

April 6, 2018

	Liberal Arts	
	Dan Ferguson	
	Need to invite new senators to May meeting.	
	Nominations for Vice-President: Sarah Uselding, Sarah Milford, Karen White.	
Legislative		
Mead Award	Difficult to get to the nomination form. Weeks waiting for approval through communications and marketing to get the word out. There is also a mistake on the blurb on Facebook page just released. May need to go back to a paper submission system in the future (last year was largely paper submission). A lot of inconsistency amongst departments in terms of how much weight / support they give to the award. May have to move up the chain to get things moving. Solve immediate problem, then decide on hard-copy issue for the future.	
Professor Emeritus Award		
Questions	[see above]	
Technology		
Faculty Survey	Examined changes / additions to the survey. Clarification on various points (wording, etc.).	MOTION to approve: Phyllis Pastwa SECOND: Mary Dodson YEA: all NAY: none

April 6, 2018

Hospitality		
Faculty Development		
Instructional Technology		
Pinning		
Faculty Committee Appointments	Sarah Uselding ready to send out a survey next week.	
New Business	Flower arrangement from Commencement where to send?	MOTION to send flowers to Georgia Manner: Courtney Milleson SECOND: Karen White YEA: all NAY: all
	Need a Parliamentarian position in Senate, especially to mediate roles and responsibilities for President, Vice-president, Secretary.	MOTION to submit resolution to Faculty for creation of Parliamentarian position: Robert Gustin SECOND: Robert Gustin YEA: all NAY: all
	Nomination for Parliamentarian (pending approval of addition of position by faculty): Sarah Uselding.	MOTION to instate Sarah Uselding for position of Parliamentarian (should position be created): Karen White SECOND: Sarah Milford YEA: all NAY: all

April 6, 2018
Ware Student Commons, Room 207

Unfinished Business		
Updates and Announcements		
Meeting Adjournment	President Jodi Lindseth adjourned meeting at 3:41.	

Recorder: Nathaniel Fryml, Instructor, Senator for Liberal Arts