

# **Planning and Evaluation Tracking**

College Year: 2007-2008

Division of: <u>Academic Affairs</u> Department of: <u>AC Library</u> Person Responsible: <u>Lou Ann Seabourn</u> Person Responsible: <u>Mark Hanna</u>

# **Purpose Statement:**

Our purpose is to

- empower our patrons to be self-sufficient information consumers and to possess critical evaluation skills;
- create a physical environment that encourages personal study, collaboration, and networking, and inspires creative and academic growth; and
- provide seamless access to the best information and tools customized for each patron.

| Goal Statements   | Objectives/Outcomes (including assessment tools and standards)  | Results  | Use of Results (including improvements and revisions)  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Students taking core courses will be information literate on selected standards from the Association of College and Research Libraries' (ACRL) Information Literacy Competency Standards. | 1. After taking a pre-test on one or more information literacy competencies and participating students will improve their scores on the post-test by at least 40 percent, and students will average at least 70 percent correct on the post-test. | Fall 2006 Pre-Instruction Assessment average: 55.4 Post-Instruction Assessment average: 75.9 Percent Improvement: 37%  Spring 2007 Pre-Instruction Assessment average: 57.0 Post-Instruction Assessment average: 82.2 Percent Improvement: 44%  Summer 2007 Pre-Instruction Assessment | Fall 2006 Analysis Determined that guessing could artificially inflate preinstruction assessment scores. Therefore, an" I don't know" option was added to some tests.  Plan of action Add "I don't know" to all assessments and encourage students to select it if accurate.  Spring 2007 Analysis |

average: 31.5

Post-Instruction Assessment

average: 78.0

Percent Improvement: 148%

## [ 2005-2006]

1.

IA = Pre-Tests Avg. % correct (Initial Assessment)

FA = Post-Tests Avg. % correct (Follow-up Assessment)

PI = Avg. Percent Improvement

Fall 2005 Results (n=509)

IA = 53% FA = 66% PI = 24%

Spring 2006 Results (n=372)

IA = 72% FA = 90% PI = 25%

Summer 2006 Results (n=20)

IA = 48% FA = 77% PI = 59%

Fall 2006 Results (n=194)

IA = 57 FA = 76 PI = 33%

The formula used to calculate the PI was as follows:

Analysis of individual assessment questions revealed that some were producing a disproportionately large number of wrong answers.

#### Plan of action

Identify clusters of wrong answers and revise the question and/or instruction.

Summer 2007

#### **Analysis**

Librarians volunteered to participate in learning communities and revised freshman orientation/student success classes which were a way of clustering instruction. Librarians developed and tested research modules for beginners and for probable transfer students in 2007.

((FA-IA)/IA)\*100 = PI

 Print and electronic collections will be relevant and useful to students, faculty, and staff, especially for programs which require discipline accreditation.

#### [2007-2008]

2.After analysis of discipline accredited course readings, assignments, and accreditation criteria. technical services staff will update purchasing procedures to change the collection development focus via a phase in process for immediate program accreditation providing 100% of required readings either in the electronic and/or print collections by September as verified by subsequent mapping.

### [2006-2007]

Pilot with Nursing Collections - Summer 2007

Existing titles = 235
Discarded (> 5yr) = 96
Updated = 31
Current titles = 170 (<5yrs)

#### [2006-2007]

2 .

# <u>Analysis</u>

Mapping against inventory and program accreditation criteria plus input from faculty assured 100% compliance.

Voluminous tracking document created by first analysis – Need spreadsheet.

Manually identifying items is time consuming.

# Plan of action

Design query reports to search by subject with SIRSI software

Focus on programs with upcoming accreditation, for example Dental Hygiene

3. Increase access to print collections for distance learners through conversion to electronic format.

#### [2007-2008]

3. By attending a series of informative sessions by library staff, faculty who place materials on Reserve will give permission to digitize & place on the Internet all their authored material as recorded by a conversion log.

## [2006-2007]

3. 0 conversions

### [2006-2007]

### 3. Analysis

Staff changes affected implementation.

No faculty member agreed to place reserve materials online.

#### Plan of action

Small faculty groups, who previously used the reserve room, will meet by invitation.

Move a portion of staff members time to meet with faculty.

Calculate hours necessary to complete the conversion in order to plan for additional personnel in FY09.

revised 8/1/05